United States District Court

WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

JNITED STATES OF AMERICA			ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
			Case Number: 1:08-cr-00165	
THOIT				
acts re	In a equire	accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3 the detention of the defendant pending trial in this	3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following s case.	
	(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense descrit offense state or local offense that would have jurisdiction had existed – that is a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § an offense for which the maximum sentence		
	(3)	in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or compara The offense described in finding (1) was committ or local offense. A period of not more than five years has elapsed imprisonment for the offense described in finding Findings Nos. (1).(2) and (3) establish a rebuttab	dant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described able state or local offenses. ted while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state a since the date of conviction release of the defendant from (1). ble presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will n(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not	
X	(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defer		
X	(2)	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c). The defendant has not rebutted the presumption	of ten years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act established by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions fendant as required and the safety of the community.	
	(1) (2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not	ate Findings (B) appear. danger the safety of another person or the community.	
	I fin		ment of Reasons for Detention mitted at the hearing establish by clear and convincing evidence that	
		nt waived his detention hearing, electing not to cor า in this matter.	ntest detention pending trial and thus failing to rebut the presumption of	
appeal he Uni	ions f . The ited S	e defendant is committed to the custody of the Atto acility separate, to the extent practicable, from per defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportu- tates or on request of an attorney for the Governm	ons Regarding Detention orney General or his designated representative for confinement in a rsons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending unity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of nent, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the appearance in connection with a court proceeding.	
July 14	1, 20 <u>0</u>	8	/s/ Ellen S. Carmody	
Date			Signature of Judge	
			Ellen S. Carmody, United States Magistrate Judge Name and Title of Judge	